RAYMOND P. HAYDEN JAMES A. SAVILLE, JR. KIPP C. LELAND HILL RIVKINS & HAYDEN LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Energizer S.A.

45 Broadway Suite 1500 New York, New York 10006 (212) 669-0600

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	
ENERGIZER S.A.,	:	Docket No. 07 cv 7406 (LTS)
Plaintiff,	:	
- Against -	:	
M/V YM GREEN her engines, boilers and tackle <i>in rem</i> ; YANG MING MARINE TRANSPORT CORP.; YANGMING (UK) LTD.; ALL OCEANS TRANSPORTATION INC.; KAWASAKI KISEN KAISHA LTD.; CONTERM HONG KONG LTD.; VANGUARD LOGISTICS SERVICES HONG KONG LTD.; FIEGE GOTH CO., LTD.; and SHENZHEN HIGH POWER TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD.	: : :	ENERGIZER S.A.'S REPLY TO COUNTERCLAIM OF DEFENDANT KAWASAKI KISEN KAISHA LTD.
Defendants.	:	
	X	

The Plaintiff, Energizer S.A., by and through its attorneys Hill Rivkins & Hayden LLP, as and for its reply to the counterclaim asserted by KAWASAKI KISEN KAISHA LTD. ("K-

Filed 01/28/2008

Line") alleges upon information and belief as follows:

- 1. Paragraph 36 of the counterclaim is one to which no response is required.
- 2. Plaintiff denies knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 37 of the Counterclaim.
 - 3. Plaintiff admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 38 of the Counterclaim.
 - 4. Plaintiff denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 39 of the Counterclaim.
 - 5. Plaintiff denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 4039 of the Counterclaim.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6. The counterclaim fails to state a claim upon which relief maybe granted.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7. If Defendant K-Line sustained any damage, which is denied, said damages were caused in whole or in part by Defendant's own acts of negligence and were not caused or contributed to in any manner by the fault, negligence, want of care, lack of due diligence, or breach of any warranty on the part of plaintiff.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8. If Defendant K-Line sustained any damages, which is denied, said damage were caused solely by the acts or omissions of third parties for which Plaintiff is not responsible and over which Plaintiff had no control and were not caused or contributed to in any manner by the fault, negligence, want of care, lack of due diligence, or breach of any warranty on the part of Plaintiff.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Document 28

9. Defendant K-Line has failed to properly mitigate their damages.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

10. If Plaintiff is found negligent, which is denied, any such negligence was superseded by the negligence and or other wrongful acts of Defendant K-Line and/or third parties over whom plaintiff had no control and for which plaintiff is not responsible.

CLAIM FOR INDEMNITY AND CONTRIBUTION FROM DEFENDANTS M/V YM GREEN, ALL OCEANS TRANSPORTATION, INC., SHENZHEN HIGH POWER, CONTERM HONG KONG LTD., VANGUARD LOGISTICS SERVICES HONG KONG LTD., FIEGE GOTH CO. LTD., YANG MING MARINE TRANSPORT CORP. and YANGMING U.K. LTD.

- 11. Plaintiff repeats and realleges every defense, admission, denial and denial of information and belief set forth in paragraphs 1 through 10 as if set forth herein at length.
- 12. Defendant K-Line claims to have sustained losses as a result of the fire that took place on board the M/V YM GREEN including hold no. 4 of the said vessel and intend to hold Energizer liable for any and all losses they have sustained, all of which Energizer has expressly denied.
- 13. To the extent Plaintiff Energizer is held liable or becomes liable to pay for the losses of Defendant K-Line, all of which is expressly denied, said losses were caused directly and proximately, in whole or in part, by defendants M/V YM GREEN, ALL OCEANS TRANSPORTATION, INC., SHENZHEN HIGH POWER TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD., CONTERM HONG KONG LTD., VANGUARD LOGISTICS SERVICES HONG KONG LTD.,

FIEGE GOTH CO. LTD., YANG MING MARINE TRANSPORT CORP. and YANGMING U.K.

LTD. reckless, negligent and improper manufacture, loading, stowing, handling, carriage, keeping

and caring for, transshipment, and/or consolidation of plaintiff's cargo with other cargoes, all of

which were committed with knowledge that damage would probably result.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays:

1. That the counterclaim asserted by KAWASAKI KISEN KAISHA LTD. be dismissed

with prejudice together with costs, disbursements and attorneys' fees.

2. That judgment be entered against defendants M/V YM GREEN, ALL OCEANS

TRANSPORTATION, INC., SHENZHEN HIGH POWER TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.,

CONTERM HONG KONG LTD., VANGUARD LOGISTICS SERVICES HONG KONG LTD.,

FIEGE GOTH CO. LTD. YANG MING MARINE TRANSPORT CORP. and YANGMING U.K.

LTD. on plaintiff's claim for indemnity and contribution.

3. Plaintiff further prays for such other, further and different relief as to this Court may

deem just and proper in the premises.

Dated: New York, New York January 28, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

HILL, RIVKINS & HAYDEN LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Energizer, S.A.

Raymond P. Hayden James A. Saville, Jr.

Kipp C. Leland

45 Broadway Suite 1500

New York, New York 10006

(212) ((2.00)

(212) 669-0600

TO:

Paul Keane, Esq. Cichanowicz Callan & Keane Attorneys for Defendants Yang Ming Marine Transport Corp. and YangMing (UK) Ltd. 61 Broadway – Suite 3000 New York, NY 10006

Edward Keane, Esq. Mahoney & Keane Attorneys for Defendant Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd. 111 Broadway – 10th Floor New York, NY 10006

Peter Zambito, Esq. Douogherty Ryan Giuffra Zambito & Hession Attorneys for Defendants Conterm Hong Kong and Vanguard Logistics Services Hong Kong Ltd. 313 East 38th Street New York, NY 10016

Patrick Lennon, Esq. Lennon Murphy & Lennon Attorneys for Defendant Fiege Goth Co., Ltd. The Greybar Building 420 Lexington Ave. – Suite 300 New York, NY 19170

William P. Kardaras, Esq. Kardaras & Kelleher, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Shenzhen High Power Technology Co., Ltd. 44 Wall Street New York, NY 10005